LINTTED STATES DISTRICT COURT

-	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
2	WESTERN DIVISION
3	
4	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
5	ex rel., ANDREW M. GARNER, III, and ANDREW M. GARNER, III,
6	Individually, Plaintiffs
7	Pidificitis
8	vs. CASE NO.: 1:00CV463
9	ANTHEM INSURANCE COMPANIES, et al.,
10	Defendants.
11	/
12	
13	CONFIDENTIAL
14	ROUGH DRAFT
15	
16	
17	The deposition of KATHLEEN CARROLL, taken by the
L8	attorney for the Plaintiffs, commencing at approximately
L 9	1:10 p.m., on the 8th day of November 2005, at 125 West
20	Romana Street, Pensacola, Florida, before Connie L. Morse,
21	Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public at Large
22	in and for the State of Florida.
23	
24	
25	
	_

1

2

APPEARANCES

COD THE DIATE

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: PAUL B. MARTINS, ESQUIRE Page $\mathbf{1}$



1

2.4	1108CARR
21	Q And that would be the next day, February 20,
22	1998?
23	A Correct.
24	Q I forgot to say if you ever need a break, just
25	say so.
	28
1	A Thank you.
2	Q Okay.
3	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 was marked for
4	identification.)
5	Q (By Mr. Martins) I've handed you what's
6	marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 9. It is a sheet dated
7	April 2, 1998. At the top it's typed Jim Garner. Do you
8	see that?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Is this one of the documents that you were
11	shown in preparation for the deposition?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And explain to me what this is.
14	A This appears to be an outline of discussion of
15	meeting that was held with Jim with regard to his
16	suspension.
17	Q Were you involved in drafting this document?
18	A I don't recall exactly. I probably worked
19	with Fred Brown on it.
20	Q Do you recall whether it was prepared before
21	meeting with Mr. Garner or after meeting with Mr. Garner?
22	A No, it would have been done before meeting
23	with Mr. Garner.
24	Q Okay. And so this is a guide, if you will, of
25	topics to cover with Mr. Garner? Page 24

0

0

A Correct. Q And as I understand your testimony, you're unsure whether or not you worked with Mr. Brown in preparing this document? A Correct. Q Would it have been your practice to be involved at this level in preparing this checklist for Mr. Garner's suspension? A Yes. Q The first item, aware of an internal audit review underway, do you recall what that relates to? A Well, there was an internal audit being done, and so it's just a statement of fact. Q What was the internal audit? A The internal audit department was conducting an audit of something in FEP. Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made regarding the audit and other matters.			29
unsure whether or not you worked with Mr. Brown in preparing this document? A Correct. Q Would it have been your practice to be involved at this level in preparing this checklist for Mr. Garner's suspension? A Yes. Q The first item, aware of an internal audit review underway, do you recall what that relates to? A Well, there was an internal audit being done, and so it's just a statement of fact. Q What was the internal audit? A The internal audit department was conducting an audit of something in FEP. Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	1	А	Correct.
this document? A Correct. Q Would it have been your practice to be involved at this level in preparing this checklist for Mr. Garner's suspension? A Yes. Q The first item, aware of an internal audit review underway, do you recall what that relates to? A Well, there was an internal audit being done, and so it's just a statement of fact. Q What was the internal audit? A The internal audit department was conducting an audit of something in FEP. Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	2	Q	And as I understand your testimony, you're
A Correct. Q Would it have been your practice to be involved at this level in preparing this checklist for Mr. Garner's suspension? A Yes. Q The first item, aware of an internal audit review underway, do you recall what that relates to? A Well, there was an internal audit being done, and so it's just a statement of fact. Q What was the internal audit? A The internal audit department was conducting an audit of something in FEP. Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	3	unsure wheth	er or not you worked with Mr. Brown in preparing
Q Would it have been your practice to be involved at this level in preparing this checklist for Mr. Garner's suspension? A Yes. Q The first item, aware of an internal audit review underway, do you recall what that relates to? A Well, there was an internal audit being done, and so it's just a statement of fact. Q What was the internal audit? A The internal audit department was conducting an audit of something in FEP. Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	4	this document	t?
involved at this level in preparing this checklist for Mr. Garner's suspension? A Yes. The first item, aware of an internal audit review underway, do you recall what that relates to? A Well, there was an internal audit being done, and so it's just a statement of fact. A What was the internal audit? A The internal audit department was conducting an audit of something in FEP. Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	5	Α	Correct.
Garner's suspension? A Yes. The first item, aware of an internal audit review underway, do you recall what that relates to? A Well, there was an internal audit being done, and so it's just a statement of fact. A The internal audit? A The internal audit department was conducting an audit of something in FEP. Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	6	Q	Would it have been your practice to be
9 A Yes. 10 Q The first item, aware of an internal audit 11 review underway, do you recall what that relates to? 12 A Well, there was an internal audit being done, 13 and so it's just a statement of fact. 14 Q What was the internal audit? 15 A The internal audit department was conducting 16 an audit of something in FEP. 17 Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? 18 A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my 19 business, really. 20 Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on 21 a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that 22 he was under suspension? 23 A Well, because of the second bullet, two 24 instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	7	involved at	this level in preparing this checklist for Mr.
10 Q The first item, aware of an internal audit 11 review underway, do you recall what that relates to? 12 A Well, there was an internal audit being done, 13 and so it's just a statement of fact. 14 Q What was the internal audit? 15 A The internal audit department was conducting 16 an audit of something in FEP. 17 Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? 18 A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my 19 business, really. 20 Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on 21 a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that 22 he was under suspension? 23 A Well, because of the second bullet, two 24 instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	8	Garner's susp	pension?
review underway, do you recall what that relates to? A well, there was an internal audit being done, and so it's just a statement of fact. Q what was the internal audit? A The internal audit department was conducting an audit of something in FEP. Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	9	Α	Yes.
A Well, there was an internal audit being done, and so it's just a statement of fact. Q What was the internal audit? A The internal audit department was conducting an audit of something in FEP. Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	10	Q	The first item, aware of an internal audit
and so it's just a statement of fact. Q What was the internal audit? A The internal audit department was conducting an audit of something in FEP. Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	11	review under	way, do you recall what that relates to?
14 Q What was the internal audit? 15 A The internal audit department was conducting 16 an audit of something in FEP. 17 Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? 18 A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my 19 business, really. 20 Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on 21 a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that 22 he was under suspension? 23 A Well, because of the second bullet, two 24 instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	12	Α	Well, there was an internal audit being done,
A The internal audit department was conducting an audit of something in FEP. Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	13	and so it	's just a statement of fact.
an audit of something in FEP. Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	14	Q	What was the internal audit?
Q Do you have any knowledge of what that was? A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	15	Α	The internal audit department was conducting
A Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	16	an audit of s	something in FEP.
business, really. Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	17	Q	Do you have any knowledge of what that was?
Q Is there a reason why this would be listed on a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	18	Α	Not really. It wouldn't have been any of my
21 a checklist for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that 22 he was under suspension? 23 A Well, because of the second bullet, two 24 instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	19	business, rea	ally.
he was under suspension? A Well, because of the second bullet, two instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	20	Q	Is there a reason why this would be listed on
23 A Well, because of the second bullet, two 24 instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	21	a checklist f	for a meeting with Mr. Garner to advise him that
24 instances wherein appropriate comments to staff were made	22	he was under	suspension?
	23	Α	Well, because of the second bullet, two
25 regarding the audit and other matters.	24	instances whe	erein appropriate comments to staff were made
	25	regarding the	audit and other matters.
30			30

Q What were the inappropriate comments?

A I don't remember. I don't know.

Page 25

3	1108CARR Q At the time did you, as of April 2 or before
4	that, were you aware of inappropriate comments to the staff
5	regarding the audit and other matters?
6	A I would have been made aware of them by, more
7	than likely, Kathy Hinkle.
8	Q Do you have any recollection as you sit here
9	today of such an either conversation or an e-mail or some
10	communication between Ms. Hinkle and you concerning
11	inappropriate comments?
12	A It would have been, in all probability, a
13	conversation where she would have brought the concerns and
14	her issues to my attention.
15	Q What I'm asking, you said it would in all
16	likelihood be or something or in all probability it would
17	have been. What I'm asking is, do you have any recollection
18	of such a conversation with Ms. Hinkle?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Before this document, Exhibit 9, was drafted?
21	A Yes.
22	Q What do you recall about the conversation with
23	Ms. Hinkle?
24	A Kathy came to me and discussed with me that
25	Jim had been making some inappropriate comments, that she
	31
1	had asked him and counseled him to refrain from making such
2	statements and comments, that they were interfering with
3	morale, and she didn't want any interference with the audit,
4	they were not appropriate for management, and so she
5	counseled him that his actions were inappropriate, were
6	unprofessional, and she wanted him to stop.

D

7

Q When did Ms. Hinkle have this conversation Page 26

with you? 8 9 I'm not sure. Do you recall was this face to face or by 10 Q phone? 11 12 I don't recall, probably both. Α 13 Do you recall if this was a day before April 2 Q or a week or a month? 14 15 Α No. I don't. 16 Do you recall where you were when you had the 0 conversation with Ms. Hinkle? 17 18 No. I don't. Α 19 At this time your office would have been Q 20 located in Mason, correct? 21 Α Correct. 22 And if I understand things correctly, Mr. Garner and Ms. Hinkle's office would have been at William 23 24 Howard Taft? 25 Α Correct. 32 1 Do you recall whether or not you went down to 2 William Howard Taft to meet with Ms. Hinkle or whether she came to Mason to meet with you when you discussed this 3 4 matter? 5 No, I don't. Α 6 Do you recall what Ms. Hinkle was wearing? Q 7 Α No. 8 Do you recall what you were wearing? Q 9 Α No. 10 Okay. Did Ms. Hinkle tell you what the statements were that she considered inappropriate? 11

0

Page 27

10	1108CARR
12	A I'm sure she did, but I don't remember what
13	they were.
14	Q Did you write down what the statements were?
15	A I don't know.
16	Q Did you then, having received this
17	communication from Ms. Hinkle, did you initiate some sort or
18	investigation to determine whether or not Mr. Garner was, i
19	fact, making whatever the inappropriate comments?
20	A At this point in time, what Ms. Hinkle was
21	informing me of was that the comments had been made and
22	these couple of instances, she had counseled him, and after
23	that point in time he continued to make inappropriate
24	comments. I looked into for sure the Mary O'Rourke piece,
25	so I did that piece of that investigation. But in terms of
	33
	J.
1	other of what had occurred that had caused her to counse
2	him in the first place, I don't recall enough of the detail
3	about that. I don't recall any detail.
4	Q Do you know whether or not this counseling
5	that Ms. Hinkle told you she provided to Mr. Garner was in
6	writing or oral?
7	A No, I don't.
8	Q To your knowledge, was there ever any
9	discussion of a possible written reprimand or written
10	counseling to Mr. Garner?
11	A Prior to this meeting, I'm not aware of any,
12	no.
13	Q Was there any attempt on your part to talk
14	with Mr. Garner to find out his side?
L5	A well, he was told in this meeting that he
L6	should contact me if there was anything that he thought we,

Anthem, needed to know or that he needed to say. 17 This is the meeting where he's informed that 18 19 he's been suspended, right? Α That is correct. 20 I'm asking before the suspension, when you 21 Q 22 talked with Ms. Hinkle and she says he's making inappropriate comments, I know you said you can't remember 23 24 what the comments were or if she told you what the comments 25 were, but setting that aside, when she tells you that he's 34 1 making inappropriate comments, did you attempt to contact Mr. Garner to get his side of it? 2 3 That wasn't necessary. Α 4 Q Why not? 5 She had counseled him on the first couple of 6 instances, and they had had a discussion with regard to 7 that. After that point in time, when he continued to make 8 comments that were inappropriate, I got the investigation going in terms of the Mary O'Rourke piece, so I had 9 validation of the fact that that was true. And in this 10 meeting he had an opportunity to make any statements, tell 11 12 his side of the story, and he had the opportunity after this meeting to contact me and tell his side of the story and was 13 14 invited to do so. 15 Am I correct in understanding that what you've just said as far as him being counseled and him not 16 17 following the counsel is based upon what Ms. Hinkle told 18 you? Only partially based upon what she told me 19 Α 20 because I went and looked into the comments that he made to

D

21	1108CARR Mary and verified them.
22	Q To Ms. O'Rourke?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Other than looking into the comments made to
25	Ms. O'Rourke, did you do anything else?
	35
1	A I don't recall if I talked to anybody else.
2	Q Do you recall ever approaching Mr. Garner
3	before April 2, 1998, to get his side of it?
4	A No, I don't recall.
5	MR. DYER: Asked and answered. Now you can
6	answer. When I make an objection, I'm making a
7	record. Then you can go ahead and answer unless I
8	were to tell you otherwise, and I will only do that
9	if it's privileged.
10	THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't remember the
11	question.
12	MR. DYER: That happens when lawyers start
13	talking.
14	MR. MARTINS: Just lawyer noises that we make.
15	Q (By Mr. Martins) Before April 2, 1998, did
16	you talk with Mr. Garner to get his side of it?
17	A I don't remember doing that. I may have. I
18	may not have.
19	Q When Ms. Hinkle either came to you or spoke to
20	you by phone reporting this complaint about Mr. Garner
21	making inappropriate comments, did you discuss with her the
22	option of some lesser administrative action rather than
23	suspension?
24	A I don't recall.
25	Q Just so that we're clear, lesser actions would Page 30

include such things as a written reprimand, poor evaluation of some sort, some sort of written record or even an oral reprimand by maybe somebody, Ms. Gross or somebody like that?

A I don't recall. But it's important to remember that this suspension was with pay. It wasn't disciplinary suspension from the standpoint of he wasn't doing his technical job. This was primarily to get him away from the workplace to stop the comments, stop the confusion of the -- the uproar, the disruption that was occurring due to his behaviors.

Q Did you know that there was an uproar?

A You know, I should take back the uproar. It was disruption; it was people being upset, concern about the fact that he wasn't following management directives. It was insubordination. There was a need to remove him from the workplace to have some time to really think through what's the right thing and to give Jim the opportunity to pick up the phone and call if there was something that we needed to know, which as far as I recall didn't happen.

Q All of what you've said just now is based on what you got from what Ms. Hinkle told you and what you got concerning the O'Rourke issue; is that right?

A And talking with Lynn Gross. It wasn't all Kathy's decision. It was primarily operating management's

decision, but it would have been Lynn Gross' ultimate

2 decision.

D

	1108CARR
3	Q Did you ever hear that Mr. Garner was
4	complaining that the FEP program was failing to pass on
5	rebates that it should be providing to the government?
6	A No.
7	Q Did you ever hear that Mr. Garner had been
8	complaining that there were overcharges and either
9	overcharges of expenses or administrative expenses to the
10	government under the FEP program?
11	A No.
12	Q Did you ever hear that he was looking into
13	those matters?
14	A No.
15	Q Do you know a Mr. Jensen, a Michael Jensen?
16	A I recall the name.
17	Q Do you recall that he was a compliance person
18	at the FEP marketing?
19	A I honestly don't remember what he did.
20	Q I take it in conducting your investigation or
21	in doing whatever you did from a human resources standpoint
22	for the suspension and then the termination, I take it you
23	did not discuss anything with Mr. Jensen?
24	A I don't recall discussing anything with him.
25	Q Do you recall having any discussion with
	38
1	anyone in management about a lesser form of administrative
2	punishment for Mr. Garner?
3	A Lesser form meaning the suspension or the
4	termination?
5	Q At the suspension level, when you got to the
6	point of you were going to bring him in on April 2 and tell

him he was suspended, leading up to that, was there any Page 32

D

3	O'Rourke conc	1108CARR erning a racism complaint?
4	Α	I don't recall, but my normal operating
5	procedure wou	ld be if I got a complaint of any kind, racism
6	or harassment	or whatever, would be to investigate to some
7	extent.	
8	Q	And my follow-up question to that is do you
9	have a recoll	ection of conducting an investigation after
10	hearing about	this from Ms. O'Rourke into this issue of
11	racism?	
12	А	I just don't recall.
13	Q	Do you have a recollection of conducting an
14	investigation	into any of the matters listed here based upon
15	the oral conv	ersation that you had with Ms. O'Rourke?
16	A	I just don't remember.
17	Q	The last paragraph talks about intimate
18	relationships	. You see that?
19	À	Yes.
20	Q	Again, do you have any recollection of in the
21	oral conversa	tion you had with Ms. O'Rourke a discussion of
22	intimate rela	tionships?
23	Α	I don't remember.
24	Q	I want to turn back to Exhibit 9. And you can
25	keep 10 there	in front of you.
		45
1		
1	A	Okay.
2	Q	There's a bullet point, "Comments to a Julie
3	Townsend in L	
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Do you recall what that relates to?
6	A	I don't.
7	Q	There's a next bullet point, "Most recently Page 38

8 comments you made to Mary O'Rourke about taking Kathy down 9 with you." Do you recall what that relates to? well, it relates to the report from Mary 10 11 that's documented in this Exhibit 10. 12 At the time this Exhibit 9 was prepared, these 13 bullet points, do you recall whether or not that statement 14 was entered there based on what Ms. Hinkle told you, or was it based on what O'Rourke told you? 15 I don't recall. 16 Α The next bullet point is, "We can't have a 17 Q 18 member of management making such comments. Disruptive to the staff, impedes the audit process being conducted." Do 19 20 you see that? 21 Α Yes. 22 Do you have any recollection of a discussion with anyone before April 2, 1998, concerning this matter? 23 Well, yes. I would have talked primarily to 24 25 Lynn but also to Kathy, as well as Fred, Kathy Hinkle, Fred

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

46

Brown, because this was the whole construction of the matter was that Mr. Garner was being insubordinate, going against the directives of his management; his comments were being disruptive to the staff; he was told to cooperate with the audit. There was concern that his actions were impeding it. So this was the bottom line as to why the action was being taken.

Q Do you have a recollection, as you sit here today, of such a discussion before April 2, 1998?

A I would have had to have had it with Lynn,

Page 39

Kathy, et cetera.

12	1108CARR Q And you're saying that just because, I mean,
13	that's how it would have to then result in this memo?
14	A Well, I don't see how it could have been part
15	of the meeting outline if that whole subject had not been
16	discussed.
17	Q And my question is, do you have a recollection
18	of that conversation before April 2, '98, before Mr. Garner
19	is brought in and suspended?
20	A Yes, I spoke with both Kathy and Lynn about
21	that.
22	
23	Q You have an independent recollection of that? A I think so. It's hard to remember that far
24	away.
25	Q Okay. As I understand your testimony, you're
	47
1	unsure whether or not you drafted what is Exhibit 9,
2	correct?
3	A That is correct. I don't know if I did it,
4	Fred did it, the two of us did it together.
5	Q And so if someone else drafted it, they may
6	have put this information down without you having had such a
7	conversation; is that right?
8	A I would be very surprised. It would be
9	bordering on impossible.
10	Q Why is that?
11	A Well, for one thing, the way Fred and I
12	worked, we worked together on a lot of things, and my name
13	was in it as to a person that he was to contact. So I
14	certainly would have known about it even if I wasn't
15	intimately involved in putting the words on paper. But they
16	auma Taali familian

Page 40

D

0

17 The meeting with Mr. Garner on April 2, 1998, took place at Mason; is that right? 18 19 I believe it took place in Lynn's office, Lynn 20 Gross' office. The Mason office? 21 Q 22 Correct. Α 23 And were you present for that meeting? Q 24 I'm told it was Fred who was at that meeting. 25 I was at the termination meeting. 48 1 Okay. Do you have a recollection of whether Q 2 or not you were or were not at that meeting? 3 No. Α 4 Q The suspension meeting? 5 No. I don't remember, certainly don't 6 remember being there. 7 You just told me I'm told I was there? Q 8 No. I was told I was not, that it was Fred 9 who handled that meeting. 10 Oh, that you were not there. I don't want to 11 get into what the attorneys told you. Did anyone else tell 12 you that you were not at this meeting? 13 No, but then I haven't spoken to anyone else. 14 0 Okay. The next bullet point is, "As a result, we are suspending you effective immediately with pay. We 15 16 will call you when it is appropriate regarding your 17 returning to work." Do you see that? 18 Α Yes. 19 Do you recall whether or not Mr. Garner was ever called concerning it being appropriate for him to 20

Page 41

D

1108CARR 21 return to work? 22 Α As far as I know, he was contacted to come in 23 for the April 7th meeting. I don't believe he was contacted 24 at any other point between the 2nd and the 7th. 25 Q What is the April 7th meeting? 49 1 The termination meeting. Α 2 Q Okay. Even though you weren't at this 3 suspension meeting, I understand that if we look down at the 4 second to last bullet point, your name is given as the point 5 of contact? 6 Α Correct. 7 Did you ever contact Mr. Garner? Q 8 Not that I recall. He was asked to contact me 9 if there was anything that he felt we needed to know, should 10 know, et cetera. 11 And I take it since you were not at the 0 12 suspension meeting, you're unsure what exactly was said 13 between whoever was there and Mr. Garner; is that right? 14 Α I'd say that's right. 15 When you spoke to Ms. O'Rourke, whenever that 16 was, did you either personally conduct an investigation or 17 cause an investigation to be conducted based on what Ms. O'Rourke had told you? 18 19 I don't recall. 20 Based on your years of human resources 21 experience, would you expect that before suspending or 22 terminating an employee, particularly somebody that had a 23 good performance record with the company, that good human 24 resources practices would have been to conduct such an 25 investigation?

Page 42

50 MR. DYER: I'm unsure who's investigating 1 2 what. We've had like three levels of investigation discussed. Could you clarify the question, please, 3 Paul. 4 5 MR. MARTINS: Sure, sure. 6 (By Mr. Martins) Based on your years of 0 7 experience and based on the information given to you by Ms. O'Rourke and Ms. Hinkle, and my understanding is that's the 8 9 sum total of the information that was given to you, correct? MR. DYER: Object, misstates her testimony. 10 11 THE WITNESS: I also spoke with Lynn. 12 (By Mr. Martins) Ms. Gross? Q 13 Correct. Α 14 Anything else? Q 15 I counseled with Fred Brown and others that I needed to counsel with, but I don't remember who everybody 16 17 was. 18 Q Okay. Do you agree that good human resources practice would be before terminating an employee who has the 19 performance and potential documented in the evaluations that 20 21 we've covered that there should be some investigation into 22 the allegations before there is a termination? 23 Well, there's really two separate issues going 24 on here. We were investigating the appropriateness of 25 action that the management -- that the operating management 51

0

wanted to take in terms of Mr. Garner's actions, and so yes,

I agree with you that good human resource practice is to

	11000400
3	1108CARR conduct an appropriate investigation before taking action.
4	The allegations that he made in this memo from Mary to me
5	don't have anything to do with the reasons why Anthem took
6	the action it did with him.
7	Q When you say from Mary to me, you're referring
8	to Exhibit 10?
9	A Correct.
10	Q So am I correct in understanding that the
11	allegations reported to you by Ms. O'Rourke in Exhibit 10
12	play no part in the decision of Anthem to either suspend or
13	terminate Mr. Garner?
14	MR. DYER: Can you clarify which allegations.
15	There's three sets of them.
16	MR. MARTINS: All of them.
17	MR. DYER: You can go ahead.
18	THE WITNESS: And I'm trying to answer
19	your question. If I don't, I'm sure you'll tell me.
20	Part of my role in working with Lynn Gross and Kathy
21	Hinkle and others on this situation with Mr. Garner
22	was to assess the extent to which it made sense to
23	take appropriate action with regard to him. The
24	actions and comments and behaviors that he was
25	exhibiting were the things I was looking into. Any
	52
	32
1	allegations that he made in these statements to Mary
2	would have been a whole separate investigation and
3	wouldn't have had anything to do with whether action
4	was taken with regard to him. Does that make sense?
5	Q (By Mr. Martins) I think I'm following what
6	you're saying. So what is reported in Exhibit 10 plays no

part in the decision of Anthem to terminate Mr. Garner; is Page 44

8	that right?
9	MR. DYER: Objection.
10	THE WITNESS: What do you mean by what is
11	reported?
12	Q (By Mr. Martins) The items that are covered
13	in Exhibit 10, the memo from Ms. O'Rourke to you, if I'm
14	understanding your testimony, played no part in the decision
15	of Anthem to terminate Mr. Garner?
16	A The fact that he would bring up concerns of
17	racism or inappropriate relationships absolutely had nothing
18	to do with his termination. The fact that he chose to
19	discuss his concerns with a direct report of Ms. Hinkle's
20	and stated that, if I go down I'm taking her down with me,
21	among other comments, those were the things that led to his
22	termination. It was appropriate for him to bring these
23	kinds of concerns, if he had them, to management or human
24	resources. It was not appropriate for him to discuss these
25	kinds of things with subordinates of his own manager.
	53
1	Q Did you conduct an investigation, or are you
2	aware of whether Anthem conducted an investigation as to

Q Did you conduct an investigation, or are you aware of whether Anthem conducted an investigation as to whether or not Mr. Garner actually said to Ms. O'Rourke, if I go down Kathy Hinkle will be going down with me?

A I conducted that from the standpoint of the first place that was reported to me was Kathy Hinkle because Mary went directly to her and was quite upset about it, and then I sat down with Mary and had her tell me what had happened and then asked her to follow up with this. I had no reason to disbelieve that she was telling me the truth.

Q Did you ever think to ask Mr. Garner if he had

	1108CARR
12	said that before you made your determination?
13	A well, it was discussed with him in this April
14	2nd meeting.
15	Q No, no. I'm asking from your standpoint.
16	A Mr. Garner never no, I did not initiate
17	conversation with him about it, and he did not contact me as
18	he was asked to.
19	Q Let's go as to the second paragraph in Exhibit
20	10, the racism issue. Did that play any part in Mr.
21	Garner's termination?
22	MR. DYER: Objection.
23	Q (By Mr. Martins) In the decision of Anthem to
24	terminate Mr. Garner?
25	MR. DYER: Object to the form of the question,
	54
	54
1	and it's asked and answered.
2	Q (By Mr. Martins) You may answer.
3	A As I said before, the fact that he brought a
4	concern of racism had absolutely nothing to do with his
5	termination. The fact that he made inappropriate comments
6	to a subordinate did have to do with his termination.
7	Q Just so that we're clear, the subordinate
8	you're referring to here is Ms. O'Rourke?
9	A Correct.
10	Q Again, with respect to this, as I understand
11	it, you did not talk with Mr. Garner to determine whether or
12	not he had such a conversation with Ms. O'Rourke; is that
13	correct?
14	A T doubt magall
	A I don't recall.
15	Q Are you aware that Mr. Garner and Ms. O'Rourke

Page 46

17	A No.
18	Q Are you aware that Ms. O'Rourke does not
19	recall ever talking to you about these matters?
20	A Jim, I believe, said earlier today that she
21	doesn't remember having a conversation with me.
22	Q Okay. Other than that, are you aware that Ms.
23	O'Rourke has no recollection of talking with you about this?
24	A I mean, am I aware that she doesn't remember
25	anything? I wouldn't have any reason to be aware that she
	55
1	doesn't
2	Q Well, okay. It's more specific than that.
3	A Okay.
4	Q Are you aware that Ms. O'Rourke has no
5	recollection of talking with you about this matter, the
6	matters in Exhibit 10?
7	A I still don't know what you're asking.
8	MR. DYER: Why don't you ask it another way
9	around, did she have the conversation.
10	MR. MARTINS: Well, okay.
11	MR. DYER: She can't know what Mary knows or
12	doesn't know if she hasn't talked to Mary, so I
13	object to the form.
14	Q (By Mr. Martins) With respect to third item
15	in Exhibit 10, the intimate relationships allegations, do
16	you have as I understand your testimony, you did not
17	discuss this with Mr. Garner, correct?
18	A Not that I'm aware of.
19	Q This reference to an underlying investigation
20	with Sue Ulrey, do you see that?

0

Page 47

21	1108CARR A Yes.
22	Q Are you aware that Anthem did this, conducted
23	this investigation and cleared Mr. Garner of any wrongdoing
24	in the underlying investigation?
25	A In the pardon me?
	56
1	Q In the underlying investigation, the
2	investigation that Ms. Ulrey was conducting.
3	A I knew an investigation was going on. I'm not
4	sure I knew the outcome of it. I may have by the time we
5	were meeting with him in April. But it didn't matter
6	because his termination, again, didn't have anything to do
7	with the investigation.
8	Q Did Ms. Hinkle indicate to you whether Mr.
9	Garner spoke to anyone else besides Ms. O'Rourke?
10	A At any point in time?
11	Q Well, concerning you have the memo from Ms.
12	O'Rourke, and you have concerning I guess this inappropriate
13	conduct by Mr. Garner in talking to subordinates, right?
14	A Correct.
15	Q That relates to Ms. O'Rourke; you've already
16	explained that?
17	A Well, as you can see on this April 2nd memo,
18	there were a couple of instances that she, she being Kathy
19	Hinkle, met with him about and a comment to a Julie Townsend
20	and then these comments to Mary O'Rourke.
21	Q Are you aware of whether Mr. Garner as alleged
22	to have spoken to anyone else besides Ms. O'Rourke?
23	A I thought I just answered that.
24	MR. DYER: Also earlier in her testimony as
25	well, so object, asked and answered. Page 48

8	in no way" you have "no way" underlined, "connected with
9	outcome of investigation." What investigation are you
10	referring to?
11	A That would have been the Sue Ulrey internal
12	investigation.
13	Q And as I understand your testimony, you are
14	not aware that Mr. Garner was cleared in that investigation;
15	is that right?
16	A I don't recall.
17	Q Certainly at the time you wrote Exhibit 11,
18	did you know I'm sorry. Did you inquire as to whether
19	the investigation being conducted by Sue Ulrey had been
20	concluded?
21	A I don't recall.
22	Q The next bullet point is, "Anthem has decided
23	to terminate your employment." You wrote that, and then did
24	you pass that on to Ms. Gross?
25	A She had this whole piece of paper where she
	60
1	had the part where she was supposed to start the meeting at
2	the top.
3	Q So this in effect is a script for Ms. Gross?
4	A It's an outline. It's not that she's expected
5	to say this exactly the way. This was just normal thing I
6	would do to help a manager through a meeting.
7	Q The third item is, "Recent actions on your
8	part have demonstrated poor judgment, decision making and
9	professionalism." What did you mean by that?
10	A We wanted it to be as clear as possible to Mr.
11	Garner exactly why he was being terminated. It had to do
	Page 51

10	1108CARR
12	with we wanted him to be clear that it didn't have anything
13	to do with the investigation, but it did have everything to
14	do with his behavior, his judgment in terms of talking with
15	people, decision making in terms of talking with people
16	after he was told not to, the insubordination, you know,
17	lack of following management direction and, you know, the
18	whole professionalism that you expect of a member of your
19	management team. And he was not demonstrating the level of
20	professionalism that's expected of a manager.
21	Q And am I correct in understanding that at the
22	time you wrote this, you had not talked with Mr. Garner to
23	get his side of it, right?
24	A I don't believe he contacted me, no.
25	Q And you did not contact him?
	61
	01
1	A No. He was told to contact me.
2	Q At least that's what's on that prior form?
3	A And I believe he was told that.
4	Q But you weren't at that meeting?
5	A No.
6	Q You said the poor judgment is demonstrated by
7	the continued talking with people, and the people that
8	you're referring to would be Ms. O'Rourke and Ms. Townsend?
9	A At least, yes.
10	Q Did you at this point in time when you wrote
11	Exhibit 11, had you talked with Ms. Townsend?
12	A I don't recall.
13	Q Do you know whether or not anyone had
14	investigated or, I'm sorry, had talked with Ms. Townsend?
15	A I don't recall.
16	Q Insubordination, you used that term. What was
	Page 52

Ď

20

17	the insubordination?
18	A well, specifically, Kathy Hinkle had counseled
19	him with regard to the inappropriate comments he was making
20	and directed him to stop making inappropriate comments that
21	she felt were hurting morale, possibly interfering with the
22	audit, and she specifically told him don't do that kind of
23	you know, don't conduct yourself with that kind of
24	behavior. And he went out and did exactly what she told him
25	not to do and bad-mouthed her.
	62
1	Q This is based on what Ms. Hinkle told you?
2	A And supported by Mary O'Rourke.
3	Q "Resulting in lack of confidence by
4	management." That's the last bullet point. What was the
5	lack of confidence?
6	A well, it would have lack of confidence
7	would be the inability to trust that he'll carry out
8	directives, act in a professional manner, make good
9	decisions, use good judgment. Those were the things that
10	caused his leaving the company.
11	Q This was a man who for six years and nine
12	months had demonstrated good judgment, good performance for
13	the company, good leadership. Was there any discussion
14	before meeting with Mr. Garner to cover the matters in
15	Plaintiff's Exhibit 11 to discuss some other alternate
16	position to take rather than termination?
17	MR. DYER: Object to the form of the question.
18	You may answer.
19	THE WITNESS: I'm confident that we held

Page 53

those discussions because we had several days in